



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/736,349	12/14/2000	Elizabeth Adleberg Brodsky	AUS920000510US1	8921
47959	7590	11/28/2012	EXAMINER	
IBM AUSTIN (ANTHONY ENGLAND)			BARQADLE, YASIN M	
LAW OFFICE OF ANTHONY ENGLAND			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3112 Windsor Road, Suite A, Box 334			2456	
AUSTIN, TX 78703			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2012	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

a@aengland.com

s@aengland.com

susan@maze-england.com

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 09/736,349	Applicant(s) BRODSKY ET AL.	
	Examiner YASIN BARQADLE	Art Unit 2456	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) YASIN BARQADLE (3) ____.
- (2) Anthony v.s. England (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 21 November 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☒Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: ____.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Issues discussed include potential double patenting with claims 1-6,8-14,16-22 and 24 of continuation application number 13/600,201. Applicant has indicated to discuss the issue with assignee of the Application.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/YASIN BARQADLE/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2456